

REMARKS

A. The Section 103 Rejections

Claims 1-20 and 22-32 were rejected under 35 USC §103 as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,812,953 to Griffith et al. (hereinafter, "Griffith") in view of newly cited U.S. Patent No. 6,356,543 to Hall et al. (hereinafter, "Hall"). Applicants respectfully disagree and traverse these rejections for at least the following reasons. Applicants note that claim 5 was previously cancelled.

Of the rejected claims, claims 1 and 17 are independent. It is to these claims that the Applicants direct their remarks, it being understood that the remarks apply to the remaining dependent claims as well.

Claims 1 and 17 include the feature of displaying settings of *a separate wireless device* within a web page *accessed by a first wireless device*. These features are not disclosed or suggested in Griffith and/or Hall, either separately or in combination.

For example, in Griffith a "cellular telephone 10" may be activated by using a wired "personal computer 80" to activate the cellular telephone 10. Thus, instead of displaying settings within a "first wireless device" the settings for cellular telephone 10 are displayed on the wired personal computer 80.

Turning to Hall, rather than disclose or suggest the display of settings *of a separate wireless device* within a web page accessed by a first wireless device as alleged by the Examiner, Hall appears to refer to a single (not separate) wireless device. In particular, Hall appears to disclose the selection of service

preferences for a "mobile phone 12" via "a simulated mobile phone display on an Internet web page" (see FIG. 1). In operation the web page (display 26) is accessed by a first wireless device (mobile phone 12) to select the "service preferences" for the first wireless device itself (mobile phone 12). As such, there appears to be no disclosure or suggestion that Hall's web page is accessed by a first wireless device in order to create settings for a second, *separate* wireless device as set forth in the claims.

Accordingly, the Applicants request withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of claims 1-4, 6-20 and 22-32.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact John E. Curtin at the telephone number listed below. If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3777 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

CAPITOL PATENT & TRADEMARK LAW FIRM, PLLC.

By: /John E. Curtin/
John E. Curtin, Reg. No. 37,602
P.O. Box 1995
Vienna, Virginia 22183
(703) 266-3330